



ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

Open Agenda

Meeting Date: Monday 30 May 2022

Time: 9.00am - (Annual Plan Submissions)

Venue: Large Exhibition Hall
War Memorial Centre
Marine Parade
Napier

Livestreamed via Council's Facebook site

Council Members **Chair:** Mayor Wise

Members: Deputy Mayor Brosnan, Councillors Boag, Browne, Chrystal, Crown, Mawson, McGrath, Price, Simpson, Tapine, Taylor and Wright

Officer Responsible Chief Executive

Administrator Governance Team

Next Council Meeting
Thursday 2 June 2022

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Karakia

Apologies

Nil

Conflicts of interest

Public forum

Announcements by the Mayor including notification of minor matters not on the agenda

Note: re minor matters only - refer LGOIMA s46A(7A) and Standing Orders s9.13

A meeting may discuss an item that is not on the agenda only if it is a minor matter relating to the general business of the meeting and the Chairperson explains at the beginning of the public part of the meeting that the item will be discussed. However, the meeting may not make a resolution, decision or recommendation about the item, except to refer it to a subsequent meeting for further discussion.

Announcements by the management

Agenda items

1 Submissions on the Annual Plan 2022/23 Consultation Document3

Minor matters not on the agenda – discussion (if any)

AGENDA ITEMS

1. SUBMISSIONS ON THE ANNUAL PLAN 2022/23 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

<i>Type of Report:</i>	Legal and Operational
<i>Legal Reference:</i>	Local Government Act 2002
<i>Document ID:</i>	1459297
<i>Reporting Officer/s & Unit:</i>	Adele Henderson, Director Corporate Services

1.1. Purpose of Report

This report presents analysis of the submissions received on the Annual Plan 2022/23 consultation document for consideration. This report also seeks final direction on the content of the Annual Plan 2022/23, which is set to be formally adopted by Council on 30 June 2022.

All submissions received on the Annual Plan 2022/23 consultation document are included in an attachment to this report.

Officer's Recommendation

That Council:

- a. Receive and consider all submissions made on the Annual Plan 2022/23 consultation document.
- b. Note the community feedback regarding the proposal to transfer coastal hazards assets and budgets to Hawke's Bay Regional Council and:
 - i. Note that Council endorsed the Memorandum of Transition in December 2021
 - ii. Note that Council has delegated authority to execute the Memorandum of Transition to the Chief Executive, and
 - iii. Note that the proposal will only be implemented after Hawke's Bay Regional Council provide for the transfer in its Long Term Plan, planned to enable the transfer of assets from 1 July 2024..
- c. Review management comments (included as an attachment) on topics raised by submitters that were not formal consultation items, and provide any direction where Council wishes to action a suggestion from a submitter, noting that officers may need to work through funding implications.
- d. Agree to the recommended re-phasing of capital budget for the parking meter upgrade project, to accommodate the timing of the project.
- e. Agree to the recommended re-phasing of the capital budget associated with the strengthening of the Memorial Square Community Rooms, in anticipation of a partnership between Napier City Council and the Art Deco Trust to restore the facility, noting that the re-phasing would result in a 0.03% rates increase for the 2023/24 year.

- f. Note that the draft budgets for the Annual Plan 2022/23 do not comply with section 100(1) of the Local Government Act 2002 (the 'balanced budget benchmark'). The projected operating revenues for 2022/23 do not cover the year's projected operating expenses as consulted on in the Long Term Plan 2021-31.
- g. Note that the proposed capital plan for 2022/23 remains an ambitious work programme in a challenging Covid-19 climate in relation to supply chain, labour shortage, and inflation.
- h. Note the significant initiatives for 2022/23 in the attached document, which form the basis for Council's work programme for the year.
- i. Direct officers to prepare the final Annual Plan 2022/23, in anticipation of adoption at the Council meeting of 30 June 2022.

1.2. Background Summary

Council has a statutory obligation to prepare and adopt an Annual Plan by 30 June 2022, per section 95 of the Local Government Act 2002. If the proposed Annual Plan contains significant and material differences from the relevant year of Council's Long Term Plan (in this case, year two), Council is expected to consult with the community. This provides a basis for effective public participation when deciding Council's immediate work programme for the year ahead.

Council adopted a consultation document on 31 March 2022, which formed the basis of the engagement.

The formal submissions period opened on 7 April, and closed on 13 May. There was one substantive consultation item; the proposed transfer of coastal hazards assets and operating budgets to Hawke's Bay Regional Council, and the opportunity for submitters to express "any other feedback".

Any member of the public could submit online or via a hard-copy form. Council received a total of 47 submissions, with 18 received on behalf of groups and organisations.

Napier City Council received support from an independent research company, SIL Research, for analysis of submissions. A summary report of SIL Research's findings is included as an attachment to this report.

1.3. Issues

This report summarises the feedback received for the question about the coastal hazards proposal, along with other, more general feedback received through the submissions process. All submissions are included in an attachment to this report, under separate cover.

1.3.1 Coastal Hazards asset/budget transfer

What is being proposed?

In 2020, the Clifton to Tangoio Coastal Hazards Joint Committee engaged Raynor Asher QC to prepare a non-binding report to review and provide recommendations about which Hawke's Bay local authority should lead and fund the implementation of coastal management projects.

The Report recommended that Hawke's Bay Regional Council (HBRC) take charge of all aspects of the prevention and mitigation of coastal hazards in the area from Clifton to Tangoio, for the following reasons:

- There is considerable scope for overlap and conflict between the roles of regional councils and district/city councils. There is public consensus that we need to prevent the impact of climate change on the region, and currently no one logical "leader" of that work for Hawke's Bay,
- An integrated approach to the whole coastline is needed, rather than a piecemeal approach turning on council boundaries. The Clifton to Tangoio Coast doesn't fit tidily with the council boundaries. Neither Napier City Council, nor Hastings District Council has jurisdiction over the coast of the other. However, the section of coastline falls entirely within the boundaries of the HBRC. What happens on one part of the coast might impact other parts, so it makes sense for HBRC to take a role as the regional lead on this work,
- Napier City Council, and Hastings District Council will still have the opportunity to bring past experience and their understanding of local communities to the table through an advisory committee,
- HBRC is the only council of the three which has the power to direct for managed retreat (moving communities and infrastructure inland before they are severely impacted by coastal hazards), and
- The HBRC has helpful experience in managing flood hazards in the Hawke's Bay region; deciding what interventions are necessary, rating for the cost, and managing the implementation of remedial measures such as stop banks.

Memorandum of Transition

A Memorandum of Transition (MoT) has been endorsed all three partner Councils. This MoT, when executed by the Chief Executives, provides an agreement in principle between the three councils to facilitate the transition of functions and transfer of assets.

The MOT noted that Napier City Council would inform the wider community about the proposal for transfer through this Annual Plan process, which is why a question was included in the consultation document.

Feedback on the proposal from the consultation period

Overall, 51.1% of submitters (n=24) agreed with the proposed transfer of coastal hazards budgets, assets and service delivery to HBRC.

29.8% (n=14) of respondents remained neutral, 4.3% (n=2) disagreed and 14.9% (n=7) did not provide a response to this question.

12 respondents chose to include a comment about the coastal hazards proposal in their submission:

- The majority of comments confirmed respondents' **general agreement** with the proposed transfer and/or agreed that the strategy was best managed by a single agency.
- A small number of responses raised queries or concerns about the impact of this decision on Napier residents' **rates**, while some indicated a desire for **iwi involvement** in the process.

- A few submitters identified **specific concerns or technical issues** around particular aspects of the strategy, or disagreed with current or proposed future coastal hazard practices.

Officers' comment

This consultation has been a good opportunity to test community sentiment regarding the proposal, and a slight majority of respondents have favoured progressing.

Regarding concerns about rates, the proposal to transfer coastal hazards assets and budgets to HBRC is intended to result in a zero net impact to the ratepayer.

The partner councils have signed a Memorandum of Transition supporting the proposal in principle subject to HBRC formalising the proposal through its Long Term Plan.

This will involve an audited consultation process led by HBRC. Since the adoption of our consultation document, HBRC has been advised that the scope of the audited consultation must include not only the proposal for HBRC to take the lead on adapting to coastal hazards, but also the full financial implications of strategy implementation such as the timing and detailed costs of physical works and who pays for what. It is still intended that this consultation will occur in time to enable the transfer of assets from 1 July 2024. HBRC also intends to undertake pre-engagement to test community sentiment for the proposal before the formal consultation.

HBRC are accountable to residents in the same way that Napier City Council is regarding proposals to increase rates and obligations to consult with the community.

Regarding iwi partnership in decision making, the MoT specifies that the transition would be coupled with the establishment of a Coastal Hazards Advisory Committee, comprised of elected members and tangata whenua. This Advisory Committee will provide a forum for constructive dialogue between tangata whenua and the partner councils on coastal hazards avoidance and adaptation.

1.3.1. "Any other feedback"

Submitters had the opportunity to raise "any other feedback" on the Annual Plan.

31 submitters took this opportunity, some of which was directly related to topics included within the consultation document, and some of which related to matters concerning Council business, but not the Annual Plan in particular.

Council received three requests for funding from community groups or organisations. The details are as follows, and the entire submission from each can be found in the attachment under separate cover.

Organisation	Funding sought	Officers' comment
<p>Constance Phua (NZ Chinese Language Week)</p>	<p>\$2,000 to support Chinese Language Week initiatives, and a video of support from the Mayor.</p>	<p>Officers are able to support the Mayor in preparing a video in support of the initiative at your direction.</p> <p>Council is in the process of applying to become a Welcoming Community which would see us being able to appoint a part time co-ordinator who can act as a liaison person. In the meantime, the liaison can be directly with the Senior Advisor developing a Multi-cultural Strategy for Napier.</p> <p>The request for funding could be met through an application to Council's Community Development Grant, noting that the scheme is intended to direct funding towards events occurring in Napier, or if in Hawke's Bay, events benefiting Napier residents.</p> <p>A member of Council's Community Services team will be in touch with more information about the process.</p>
<p>Jock Mackintosh (Mitre10 Park)</p>	<p>\$100,000 (plus GST) to support the capital cost of the 2,000 sqm cricket and boxing centre currently being built.</p>	<p>This request could be accommodated from Council's annual 'Projects Fund', depending on priority for Council, and noting that would exhaust the per annum budget for the fund. Officers note that a \$1M grant was allocated to the sports park through the 2021 Long Term Plan process, which was paid in August 2021.</p>
<p>Chris Tremain (Cranford Hospice Foundation)</p>	<p>\$500,000 over the next 3-5 years to support the build of the "New Home for Cranford".</p>	<p>Cranford Hospice submitted to the Long Term Plan process last year, and while Council did not support a monetary contribution, support in kind has been offered by way of help with maintenance of the site's gardens and trees.</p> <p>This request could be partially accommodated from Council's annual 'Projects Fund', depending on priority for Council, but the entire fund allocation is \$100K P/A.</p> <p>A funding contribution of this scale would need to be considered as a standalone project outside of existing grants mechanisms.</p>

Other feedback was broken down across the following themes:

Theme of feedback	Specific matters raised
Implementation of Council strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peter Grant (Napier Positive Ageing Strategy Advisory Group): seeking a full time Council employee dedicated to the Positive Aging Strategy, and funding allocation for age friendly projects. • Michelle Lucas (CCS): seeking dedicated funding to achieve priority actions in Council's Disability Strategy, a fund to support community initiatives to improve accessibility throughout the city, and engagement of "Lifemark" to increase the number of accessible homes in Napier.
Ideas for installations/urban design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Frederick Puriri: Pal Tiya sculpture with "embossed Māori nature patterns". • Liz Remmerswaal: "Peace Pou" in Clive Square. • Graeme Dickey (Ahuriri Sunrise Rotary Club): park development in front of East Pier Hotel (Ahuriri). • Roger Hedley: illumination of the Spirit of Napier statue.
West Quay waka berth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Piripi Smith (Ātea a Rangī Educational Trust): seeking a floating pontoon for the waka mooring on West Quay (estimated \$300-\$350k) as a security solution until the waka hub is built in the Iron Pot. • Shade Smith (Ngāti Kahungunu Iwi Inc.): support for Ātea a Rangī Educational Trust's floating pontoon proposal.
Suburb specific initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • John McGifford (Westshore Residents' and Development Association): gull-proof bins in Westshore, seating/planting at Charles St shops, 30km signage around Westshore School. • Allen McMillan (Taradale Residents' Association): wish to be involved in Taradale Town Hall refurbishment and strengthening of Taradale Plunket Rooms.
Rates increase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General opposition. • Rates increase difficult for fixed income ratepayers/pensioners. • Questions about the drivers behind rates increases.
Aquatic Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accessibility concerns about the current facility (spa pool hoist). • Support for the proposed 2022/23 capital injection into the current facility. • Want to be consulted on the pool development. • Concern about the cost of a new development. • Concern about the future of Onekawa park if the Aquatic Centre moves. • Any future redevelopment needs to be close to areas of high social deprivation.
Climate change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for action to combat climate change. • Suggestion to deprioritise cars from CBD. • Concerns and questions about coastal erosion.
Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Feedback on Three Waters and chlorination.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Question around what’s happening to protect Marewa against flooding.
Sport and recreation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ryan Hambleton (Sport Hawke’s Bay): seeking support for cycling education for tamariki. • Stuart Lindsay (Napier Old Boys’ Marist Cricket Club): request for new cricket pitch at Park Island (between the two rugby fields). • Alan White: Lack of engagement and strategic planning for transport/unsafe roads for cycling
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Desire to see new Napier Library • Desire to see a shopping centre and supermarket in Te Awa • Support for regional economic development agency • Support for funding any tourism portfolio shortfall from Council reserves • Opposition to the implementation of vaccine passes at Council facilities • Consultation material hard to understand/expensive to print

Officers’ comment

Specific management comments relating to the matters raised by individual submitters are included in the attached document containing a collated version of all submissions.

Some requests for funding/support could be met through existing funding/grants mechanisms at the direction of Council. Others, such as the request for a floating pontoon for the waka berthed at West Quay, would need to be worked through by officers (specifically around options for funding) if they are a priority for Council, noting this may have an impact on the projected rates increases.

Some of the feedback related to projects which are already underway, such as the Civic/Library precinct and investigations into the future of the Napier Aquatic Centre.

1.4. Other changes recommended to the Annual Plan

The following issues have been identified by officers since the adoption of the Consultation Document (31 March 2022), and are now presented to Council as recommendations for inclusion in the final Annual Plan.

1.4.1. Parking metre upgrade project

Council’s existing parking meters are at end-of-life and require a city-wide upgrade. Work is currently underway to install ‘Pay by Plate’ meters across all locations where there are current parking meters, which will allow us to deliver a better service to parking users.

This is a capital project which is being funded through Council’s ‘parking reserve’. \$400,000 of funding is needed for the 2022/23 year for this project. Timing of the \$400,000 was initially included in 2024/25 as part of the LTP, however the project is seeking this be bought forward to 2022/23 and included in the Annual Plan.

Officers recommend this funding is brought forward from the 2024/25 year, to the 2022/23 year, as originally intended. As this funding is from existing reserves, this has no impact on projected rates for the year.

1.4.2. Memorial Square Community Rooms

During deliberations on the 2021-31 Long Term Plan, Council resolved to strengthen and refurbish the Memorial Square Community Rooms in Clive Square, in conjunction with interested community groups, after completing a feasibility study that will identify options for its use.

Council allocated \$100,000 (operating funding) in 2022/23 to conduct a feasibility study for the project, and a further \$1.5M between 2022 and 2024 for the capital works, with the intent that \$750,000 of that \$1.5M would be funded through loans, and \$750,000 sourced from external and community funding.

The phasing of that capital funding, as adopted in the LTP, was as follows:

2021/2022 (Year One)	2022/2023 (Year Two)	2023/24 (Year Three)
-	\$773,000 (inflated)	\$793,000 (inflated)

At the Future Napier Committee meeting of 5 May 2022, Council agreed in principles to partner with the Art Deco Trust (the Trust) to restore, strengthen and refurbish the Memorial Square Community Rooms, and lease the building to the Trust. Council is due to consider a draft Memorandum of Understanding to underpin this partnership on 2 June 2022.

It is understood that Council and the Trust wish to proceed as soon as possible with this project. Initial project timelines indicate that the \$793,000 set aside for 2023/24 will be required earlier.

Officers propose to bring the \$793,000 year three funding forward to year two to result in a \$1.57M lump sum in 2022/23, as shown below:

2021/2022 (Year One)	2022/2023 (Year Two)	2023/24 (Year Three)
-	\$773,000 (inflated) \$1.57M	\$793,000 (inflated)

Because half of the \$1.57M is to be funded from loans, by bringing the year three portion forward into 2022/23, it increases the interest on the loan payable the following year. This change would see a 0.03% increase to rates for 2023/24, which will be factored into draft budgets for the 2023/24 Annual Plan.

It is understood that any arrangement with the Art Deco Trust would see the Trust take responsibility for the 50% of the capital cost of this project that was to be sourced from external and community funding.

1.5. Significance and Engagement

Consultation on the Annual Plan has been conducted according to the requirements of the Local Government Act 2002.

A summary of consultation activity undertaken over the five week period is included as an attachment.

1.6. Implications

Financial

The average proposed rates increase stands at 9.8% for the 2022/23 year in draft budgets.

Note that the 9.8% is *an average*. Some categories of property, such as 'Ex-Rural Residential (City Fringe)' and 'Bay View Average Commercial' are set to experience a rates increase higher than 9.8%. Napier's rating system was significantly changed at the start of the 2021/22 year as part of Council's review of its Revenue & Financing Policy review. In addition to new property differentials being introduced, it was agreed that the change to General Rates would be phased in over three years. This phasing has resulted in a higher than average rates increase for several categories of property.

When deliberating on the content of the final Annual Plan, Council may choose to direct officers to add specific initiatives to the annual work programme, or may choose to support community groups' requests for funding. Changes of this nature may result in rates increases (depending on the source of funding), but the quantum will not be known until officers work through the implications of Council's direction.

Council has a statutory obligation under section 100 of the Local Government Act 2002, unless Council resolves it is financially prudent not to do so. The projected operating revenues for 2022/23 **do not** cover the year's projected operating expenses; the budget is not balanced. Our financial modelling suggests we may be facing a \$1.5M shortfall in our anticipate revenue from tourism activities in 2022/23. If this shortfall arises, Council proposed in its consultation document to fund the deficit from its existing parking and urban growth reserves. This is the key driver behind the proposal to adopt an unbalanced budget.

The capital plan for 2022/23 stands at \$89.4M for 2022/23. This figure is **before** taking into account any unspent "carry forward" capital funding from the 2021/22 financial year. Officers are currently reviewing.

Officers note that Council has sought funding through Kāinga Ora's Infrastructure Acceleration Fund, with the outcome of the bid still pending. Council applied for \$12.35M for stormwater upgrades in Maraenui to enable the building of safer and more resilient homes. While Council has progressed to the negotiation stage of the application process, final Ministerial funding decisions are not expected until at least June/July, and perhaps as late as October 2022. A successful bid for this funding would see the \$12.35M added to Council's capital plan over five years, part of which would be over and above next year's \$89.4M. Officers note that Council already has approximately \$10M included in its 10 year capital plan for growth-related stormwater projects, which may need to be rephased if the application is successful, to match Kāinga Ora's timing.

Council's application to the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's 'Tourism Infrastructure Fund' is in a similar position. Council has applied for a \$700,000 contribution towards construction of a visitor pavilion as part of development of a Waka Hub in the Iron Pot area of Ahuriri. The outcome of the application is still unknown but anticipated for the start of June. An award of \$700,000 would be additional to the current capital plan as this was not a project programmed in the Long Term Plan.

Social & Policy

The proposals contained in the Annual Plan consultation document have been assessed as compliant with relevant Council policies, including Council's Financial Strategy.

A majority of the significant initiatives on the work programme for 2022/23 have arisen from Council strategies and policies, such as the Water Supply Masterplan (2019) and the Ahuriri Estuary and Coastal Edge Masterplan (2018).

Risk

The risks to the delivery of the Annual Plan are as follows:

- **Capital deliverability:** Council is proposing an ambitious capital programme for the year, which may not be delivered in full, given Covid-19 and challenges with global supply chains.
- **Inflation:** 3.6% LGCI has been factored into draft budgets, along with an operational contingency of 1.5% to allow for uncertainty in labour market and higher costs, particularly for construction. Officers note that inflation (CPI) is currently sitting at 6.9% for the March 2022 year. The proposed budgets for Council's work programme may be insufficient if inflation continues to rise. Officers will report back to Council with any impact on budgets as a result of tenders, and continue to work within an overall cap for the capital programme within the year.
- **Unfunded items:** there are some demands which might arise in the upcoming financial year which are currently not accommodated within budgets noting that a separate report is coming to the Prosperous Napier Committee on 9th June 2022. These include but not limited to:
 - The Three Waters transition
 - Climate change adaptation/mitigation
 - Budget to conduct an LTP amendment if required
 - Future of the i-SITE Visitor Centre (to be considered in conjunction with the section 17A review which has already been conducted)
 - Inflation pressures
- **Long Term Plan Amendment:** Council may need to pursue a LTP amendment in 2023 to action Council's decisions about the Napier Aquatic Centre redevelopment or Housing (noting the resolutions from the Council meeting of 18 May 2022) and/or any other issues that may arise in the short term which trigger section 97 of the Local Government Act 2022.
- **Unknown infrastructural issues:** Council may uncover unknown issues with Council infrastructure (including aging componentry or earthquake vulnerability) which has not been factored into budgets.

1.7. Options

The options available to Council are as follows:

- a. Consider submissions and **adopt** the proposed resolutions to enable the development of the final Annual Plan to be brought to Council for adoption on 30 June 2022.
- b. Consider submissions and **amend and adopt** the proposed resolutions to enable the development of the final Annual Plan to be brought to Council for adoption on 30 June 2022.

1.8. Development of Preferred Option

N/A

1.9. Next Steps

An Annual Plan does not need to be independently audited (unlike a Long Term Plan). Upon receiving your final direction regarding content through your resolutions from this meeting, officers will prepare the final Annual Plan and present it to you at a meeting on

30 June for final adoption. This allows Council to strike rates for the first quarter of the 2022/23 financial year.

1.1 Attachments

- 1 Consultation summary (Doc Id 1467310) [↓](#)
- 2 Annual Plan - Campaign Summary (Doc Id 1467311) [↓](#)
- 3 SIL Research analysis report (Doc ID 1467352) [↓](#)
- 4 Significant initiatives 2022/23 (Doc ID 1467353) [↓](#)
- 5 All Annual Plan submissions (including management comments) (Doc Id 1467351)
(Under separate cover 1) [⇒](#)

ANNUAL PLAN 2022/23 CONSULTATION SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

The Annual Plan 2022/23 consultation process was an opportunity for Council to update the community on its proposed plan for the year ahead and to seek feedback through the submission process and at engagement activities. The consultation document outlined the drivers of the proposed 9.8% rates increase, particularly the ongoing effects of the COVID19 pandemic, while also identifying changes needed to maintain the Aquatic Facility and the specific proposal to transfer the Coastal Hazards activity to Hawke's Bay Regional Council.

The Annual Plan consultation process is also an opportunity to inform the community about progress on significant projects and for the community to provide feedback on other aspects of Council's work.

Concurrent consultations:

Reference was made to several other significant consultation processes occurring within the same period, with specific reference made to consultation around the future of Council's Housing Provision in the engagement activities as well. The consultations referenced in the Annual Plan Consultation Document were:

- Council Housing
- Trade Waste and Wastewater Bylaw review
- Water Supply Bylaw review
- Aquatic Redevelopment – subsequently put on hold.

CONSULTATION APPROACH

A consultation document was prepared outlining the above with changes to the capital programme also highlighted.

Access to the consultation document and opportunity to provide feedback was primarily online, with hard copies provided at the Customer Services Centre, Libraries and at the Annual Plan hui. Accessible formats were available online with an NZSL video also produced. A summary leaflet was delivered to Napier households.

The design of the engagement approach reflected the COVID19 environment at the time and was also commensurate with the magnitude of the changes proposed. The COVID19 settings did change during the consultation period.

CONSULTATION PROCESS

Consultation was open for four weeks from 7 April to 13 May 2022.

A range of engagement and promotional tools were created to support the consultation.

Engagement

Two community hui and one Facebook Live session were scheduled to take place early in the consultation period in order to ensure they overlapped the Housing consultation period to give an opportunity to discuss both issues.

Deputy Mayor Annette Brosnan hosted a Facebook panel comprising Mayor Kirsten Wise, CE Steph Rotarangi and Heather Bosselmann (to discuss Coastal Hazards)

