



ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL

Open Minutes

(Dog Control Bylaw Review)

Meeting Date: Tuesday 12 July 2022

Time: 9.00am – 2.45pm

Venue Large Exhibition Hall
Napier War Memorial Centre
Marine Parade
Napier

Livestreamed via Council's Facebook site

Present **Chair:** Mayor Wise
Members: Deputy Mayor Brosnan, Councillors Boag, Chrystal, Crown, Mawson, McGrath, Price, Simpson, Tapine and Taylor *[Zoom]*

In Attendance Chief Executive (Steph Rotarangi)
Executive Director City Services (Lance Titter)
Executive Director City Strategy (Richard Munneke)
Executive Director Infrastructure Services, (Debra Stewart)
Pou Whakarae (Mōrehu Te Tomo) *[Zoom]*
Manager City Development (Paulina Wilhelm)
Manager Regulatory Solutions (Rachael Bailey)
Team Leader Animal Control (David Whyte)
Consultant (Stuart Hylton)

Submitters Speaking: Lynne Anderson (Napier Forest and Bird Society); Wendy Austin; Christine Scott; Gavin Ide; Ailsa McGilvary-Howard *[Zoom]*; Tony Oliver' Paddy Cooper; Angie Denby and Sue Macdonald (Ahuriri)

	Protection Society); Rachael Rogge; Sandra Dunseath; Paul Bailey [Zoom]; Alison Vaughan (SPCA) [Zoom] and Brittany Turlock [zoom]
Administration	Governance Advisors (Carolyn Hunt and Anna Eady)
Sign Language	Sarah Billing and Leisa Francois

Karakia

The meeting opened with a karakia.

Apologies

COUNCIL RESOLUTION	Councillors Crown / Price
	That the apologies from Councillor Browne and Wright be accepted.
	Carried

Conflicts of interest

Nil

Public forum

Nil

Announcements by the Mayor

The Mayor opened the meeting and welcomed attendees, noting that the meeting was being both recorded and livestreamed.

Announcements by the management

Nil

AGENDA ITEMS

1. PROPOSED DOG CONTROL BYLAW AND POLICY 2022 - HEARING REPORT

<i>Type of Report:</i>	Legal
<i>Legal Reference:</i>	Dog Control Act 1996
<i>Document ID:</i>	1476807
<i>Reporting Officer/s & Unit:</i>	Paulina Wilhelm, Manager City Development

1.1 Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is for Council to hear, consider and make decisions on the adoption of the proposed Napier City Council Dog Control Policy 2022 and Dog Control Bylaw 2022. The report provides an analysis of submissions received on the proposed policy/bylaw, including background information, to inform Council in its decision making on this matter. The report also includes a proposed change as a response from further information received by submitters.

It was noted that some attachments from submitters had not been included in the agenda and they have been attached as a separate document to the minutes.

PRESENTATION OF ORAL SUBMISSIONS

The following submitters spoke to their submissions on the proposed changes to the Dog Control Bylaw.

Submission No. 476 – Lynne Anderson on behalf of the Forest and Bird Society spoke to her submission and highlighted the following:

- Ms Anderson displayed the Ahuriri Estuary map (*Doc Id 1477117*) and considered that dogs should not be allowed in the area at all. On lead lines were difficult to ascertain on the map and she had been unable to find any written reference in Schedule 2.
- Godwits roost in the area near the Westshore scrapes and this area appears to have gone from a no dogs area to an “on lead” area.
- The seasonal on lead requirements at Westshore beach need to be changed to July to February and not October to March. The dotterels can begin laying earlier than October.
- The Draft Policy Statement contains no mention in regard to the “need to protect wildlife or farm animals”.
- Length of dog leads can be sometimes be 10m or more which creates a problem for the dotterels.
- There were not a lot of areas in Napier that are wildlife sensitive and we have a moral obligation to protect what we have.

The Manager City Development, Ms Wilhelm clarified that the Statement of Proposal had included an “on lead” area however, following submissions regarding the Estuary area the recommendation has been changed to not allow the Estuary to be an “on lead” area.

Submission No. 434 – Wendy Austin, a pro-dog trainer in Hawke’s spoke to her submission and highlighted the following:

- Did not support retaining the restriction of more than two dogs in the urban area.
- Supported having specific “on lead” areas for dogs so it was safe for people and if there is an area close by where dogs can be off leash to have some freedom.
- Would not encourage a young dog to be off leash. A long line leash of 5m is probably good limit however 10m is good if used correctly.
- Opposed extending the prohibited area as with dogs on lead and sensible dog owners it was somewhere nice to walk and the extended path could be designated for bird life.
- In regard to Watchman Road she would not encourage people to take dogs there if not on a leash as it is very noisy and busy and it was sensible to be a non-dog area.
- Supported seasonal “on lead” requirements between dotterel breeding dates with signage indicating birds are nesting.
- Current stick fencing around the nesting area would not stop a dog, it would be better to have some mesh fencing that would not allow them access.
- Have clear signage for “on lead” and “off lead” areas.
- Supported having an additional reserve at Dolbel for dogs.
- Ms Austin said that she would be happy to be involved in an education information sharing initiative for dog owners.

Submission No. 372 – Christine Scott spoke to her submission and highlighted the following:

- Did not support extending the prohibited area around the Estuary as dogs had to be on a lead.
- Dogs should be allowed beach access but be under control.
- Prohibiting more areas for dog walking meant it was getting more and more difficult to exercise dogs without driving to a destination.
- Walking on the streets/hard surfaces is boring and not ideal for the joints of the dog either.
- Napier City is not very well catered for where dogs can exercise.

Submission No. 606 – Gavin Ide circulated and spoke to his submission (*Doc ID 1480485*) which focussed on the removal of “controlled areas” to “on lead” areas; reclassification of some unspecified areas (ie on lead areas) to become dog exercise areas and permits for owning three or more dogs in urban neighbourhoods. The following points were noted:

- In regard to “on lead” areas this should not be confirmed until consideration has been given to suggested additional off lead exercise areas.
- No commentary in the report regarding any additional exercise areas, other than what was featured in the original Statement of Proposal consultation document.
- SIL’s report provides some great graphical analytics but there is no qualitative commentary whatsoever on some of the suggestions made in his submission.
- His submission had suggested finding a number of greenbelt reserves around Park Island and the Parklands area as off lead. They were not in the 2014 Bylaw and are

not in the current proposal and wondered if it was a longstanding oversight and would like them to be considered the same as the Westminster Avenue area.

- Consideration be given to a new category of an “interim” exercise area.
- The area between Orotu Drive and Park Island sportsgrounds which has been earmarked for future sportsfields and residential development could be designated an “interim” off lead exercise area.
- Interim would be temporary until something changed and there could be other places throughout Napier City that could also be “interim”.
- Council needs to consider extra suggestions made in submissions prior to making a decision in regard to dog access rules.
- In regard to permits for 3 or more dogs on a property he suggested that the Bylaw could provide an opportunity for adjoining neighbours to provide comments prior to staff making a decision on whether to approve/decline a permit for three or more dogs on a property.
- The minor amendment to the Ahuriri Estuary map (*Attachment 12 in the Agenda - Doc Id 1477117*) is not minor and felt it would have some perverse consequences. From the perimeter pump station over the tide gates, under the Expressway and up to the old Embankment Road bridge should remain an “on lead” area, not a prohibited area.

The Team Leader Animal Control, Mr Whyte confirmed that in regard to permits for three dogs or more the online form requests that nomination of three neighbours be made and enquiries are made with all neighbours as part of the process.

Submission No. 576 – Ailsa McGilvary-Howard on behalf of the Banded Dotterel Group of Kaikoura spoke to her submission via zoom link in support of the proposed Bylaw changes and provided background information in regard to the dotterels. Points noted were as follows:

- Recommended the following be included in the Bylaw Policy:
 - Use of a short dog leash be specified during the breeding season;
 - Dog owners be requested to be alert in the areas of the breeding habitat or bird foraging.

*The meeting adjourned for morning tea at 10.05am
and reconvened at 10.45am*

Submission No. 274 – Tony Oliver spoke to his submission in support of the proposed changes to the Bylaw and highlighted the following:

- Support policy objectives to minimise problems caused by dogs to provide a safe environment to community through active enforcement and education of dog owners.
- Maggie’s Way in Kent Terrace has children wandering through all times of the day and is a sanctuary for wildlife and needs to be an “on lead” area
- More signage displaying “on lead” areas required.
- The Reserve has become an off lead area with dogs running wild attacking wildlife, intimidating visitors and wandering on neighbour’s properties.
- He was a retired Parks Manager who had had dealings with a lot of dog issues.
- For Council to meet the objectives of the Act it needs to provide a safe environment for visitors, wildlife and neighbours and this must be done through effective education for dog owners through signage showing what the status of each reserve is.

- Currently there is no dog signage at Maggie's Way and without signage dog owners see this as an off lead area.
- Support and training be given to Council park staff so they can approach the public as without signage they are unable to do this. The public need to be educated through signage.
- Creating an additional dog exercise area at Dolbel Reserve will become over-run with dogs and faeces and young families will not go there anymore.
- Park Island is a good area for off lead dogs and faeces and you don't have to take children there. Small areas created in a bush zone which are attractive can be kept separate for children to play in.

Submission No. 386 – Angela Cave on behalf of “Save the Dotterels Hawke’s Bay Group” spoke in support of all areas other than those specified in the policy as being on lead to protect nesting dotterels and other bird species. Ms Cave noted:

- Banded dotterels are a critically endangered species and do not need dogs contributing to their further demise in their Ahuriri Estuary home.
- Dogs chase the birds, eat the eggs and chicks.
- Stricter dog bylaws will help to protect New Zealand's natural wildlife.
- In Hawke's Bay generally see sightings of dotterels at the end of July and nesting as early as August and finishing January/February.
- Would prefer the “on lead” period to be annual rather than seasonal.

Submission No. 260 – Paddy Cooper spoke to his submission displaying a Powerpoint presentation of dotterel photos (*Doc ID 1480165*) and noted the following:

- Supported all year “on lead” for the Westshore area where the dotterels nest.
- He displayed photos of examples of signage for not allowing dogs where birds are nesting that he erected every year during the breeding season.
- Dotterels have arrived as early as June with nesting in August. August would be the latest time for enforcement for “on lead” through to January if it is to be seasonal.
- He would like it to be dogs “on lead” all year round as it is too hard to enforce when for six months of the year dog owners know the area as off lead.
- A Ranger from the Napier City Council put signage at the end of the pathways and one in the middle approximately 4-5 years ago to “please keep your dog on a lead”. A lot of dog owners ignore the sign.
- Requested short dog leads as people having long dog leads are harder to control.

Councillor McGrath withdrew from the meeting at 11.24am

Submission No. 495 – Angie Denby and Sue Macdonald on behalf of the Ahuriri Estuary Protection Society spoke to the submission and highlighted the following:

- Opposed the Estuary stopbanks becoming “on lead” dog areas – it should remain a prohibited area for dogs.
- Referring to the area from Pandora Pond, up towards and under the bridges and where the straight channel is to the left southern marsh area and to the right the Westshore wildlife lagoon, which also includes the scrapes (called the Ahuriri Wildlife Refuge).
- A “refuge” is the highest legal standing for a conservation area.
- The Society strongly supported the Humber Street prohibition area as despite signage dogs are regularly seen off lead.

- The Society considered the signage needed to be upgraded, very visible and really informative explaining what a wildlife refuge is and why the area needs protection from the disturbance of dogs.
- The Society were very keen to offer financial support for adequate signs to be erected at both ends of the Humber Street walkway.
- Wish to protect the birds of Ahuriri Estuary where it is reported to have 55 species of birdlife and is a designated Department of Conservation and a Napier City Council wildlife refuge.
- The Ahuriri Estuary is one of the most important wildlife habitats in the North Island South East Coast and is listed in a worldwide inventory of wetlands in the New Zealand area. Currently the tenure is with the Department of Conservation and the Napier City Council and some private land owners.
- The Society recommended an educational pamphlet for dog owners when registering dogs that explains why areas are prohibited for dogs.
- Current signage about dogs and cycles is small and sideways and people walk past and ignore them.
- The Society acknowledged that there were really good dog owners who follow the rules and encouraged Council to look for another area where dogs can be walked on or off lead. There were a lot of dog owners not keen to take little dogs to exercise areas where there are big dogs.

Councillor McGrath rejoined from the meeting at 11.29am

*The meeting adjourned at 11.38am and
reconvened at 11.47am*

Submission No. 38 – Rachael Rogge spoke to her submission and highlighted the following:

- Some of the changes recommended in the proposed Bylaw will be limiting to the responsible dog owner, whilst those not following the current Bylaws will continue to do so as there are not enough consequences for their bad behaviour.
- Her main concern was in regard to the new proposed access areas and did not support more “on lead” areas other than those specified in the Policy;
- Opposed extending the prohibited area around the Estuary as it is an “on lead” area. There are not enough Animal Control officers now to enforce bylaws already in place to issue infringements to people breaking the rules. Why have more minor bylaws?
- Did not support prohibiting dogs at Watchman Road Reserve and the Port Beach West.
- Supported an additional dog exercise area at Dolbel Reserve
- She felt that Council should have more staff monitoring the “on lead” areas and issuing infringements so that dog owners abiding by the rules were not penalised.
- Ms Rogge requested that the current Bylaws be retained as is until they are able to be enforced.

It was noted that the proposed Dog Control Bylaw had been supported by the Animal Control Team as they believed it would be easier to do their work.

Submission No. 603 – Sandra Dunseath on behalf of the Napier Dog Training Club spoke to her submission and highlighted the following:

- The Club overall agreed with the proposed Bylaw with regards to regulating dogs to prevent nuisance.
- The Club supported all other areas than those specified in the Policy being “on lead” areas.
- Prohibited and exercise areas need to have good signage, including phone numbers so breaches can be reported.
- Believe there should be more education information on dog ownership available.
- Removal of the discount for a dog attending an approved dog training course is a disincentive for new dog owners.
- Concern that designated exercise areas will become crowded and create issues.
- In Many cases people will now have to go elsewhere to exercise their dog off lead and this is an important aspect of dog welfare.
- An additional dog exercise area at Dolbel Reserve is a necessity and appreciated.
- Menacing dogs being released unneutered should require the owner to pay for surgery upfront before release.
- She felt that there were opportunities for Animal Control to provide education to the public on dog ownership with information on the website prior to getting a dog.
- Consideration be given to a permit system being implemented for breeding for puppies including ethics such as no bitch being bred under 12 months or over eight years; no more than four litters per bitch and no more than two litters in an 18 month period.
- Basic domestic dog training class is \$100.00 for ten weeks and thereafter \$70.00 per annum to attend one night a week.

*The meeting adjourned for lunch 12.09pm
and reconvened at 1.04pm noting Councillor Tapine rejoined later*

Submission No. 298 – Paul Bailey spoke to his submission via zoom and supported most of the changes to the Bylaw noting the following:

- Did not support seasonal “on lead” requirements at Westshore beach. It should be “on lead” year round.
- Agreed that there needed to be a balance for dogs to exercise and for citizens to freedom in public spaces undisturbed by dogs.
- He supported restrictions on Westshore beach for year round rather than seasonal
- Be consistent with Hastings District Council.

Councillor Tapine rejoined the meeting at 1.06pm

*Meeting adjourned at 1.08pm and reconvened
at 1.30pm when submitters joined the meeting*

Submission No. 607 (Arnja Dale) Alison Vaughan on behalf of the SPCA spoke to the submission via zoom link and noted the following points:

- Supported clarification of dog access rules but raised concern about the change default from off leash to on leash.
- There needed to be more provision for designated off leash areas to enable exercise for dogs.
- Support option to prohibited areas for the protection of wildlife.
- Many dogs not suited for exercising in dog parks and many areas that would have been acceptable for exercising dogs will now require “on lead”.
- Reasonable compromise for multiple dogs but 3 dogs was restrictive and was concerned how this might impact on fostering of animals. The SPCA and other organisations rely

on people fostering animals as the shelter environment can be stressful for animals. Keeping animals in a home is better for their outcomes.

- She advocated a review of the menacing dog classification with eligibility after evidence of dog training course being completed and no infringements during 12 months. This would enable people to learn from experience to amend behaviour and become responsible dog owners

The Team Leader Animal Control, Mr Whyte advised that there were a number of people in the community that fostered animals on a temporary basis and discretionary decisions were made when this arrangement was only temporary whether a permit is necessary. Council like to be aware of people who do foster animals in the community and do not have a permit.

Submission No. 427 – Brittney Turlock (Hastings resident) spoke to her submission and noted the following:

- Proposal for the decrease in off lead areas has a flow on effect for the region and would create a bottle neck to travel further distances from Napier and going to off lead areas in the region such as the Pakowhai Dog Park in Hastings.
- The Pakowhai dog park is in the Hastings district and is already over-run and overcrowded.
- Decreasing the off lead areas in Napier will increase pressure on other areas out of the region which are already under pressure, including the off lead area at beaches.

It was noted the proposed change for the introduction of an “on lead” classification was to provide consistency across the region and align with the Hastings District Council Bylaw already in place in terms of urban spaces and local neighbourhoods. Most councils in the country had already introduced the “on lead” classification.

This concluded the hearing of submissions where submitters had chosen to speak to Council.

Presentation of Officer’s Report

The Manager City Development, Ms Wilhelm spoke to the report advising that over 500 submissions had been received for the proposed Dog Control Bylaw. The recommendations were proposed to strike a balance between the views of all submitters.

The key changes that were proposed in the bylaw and consulted on were:

- Retaining the current two dog per property limit for urban areas (without a permit).
- All public places other than designated prohibited areas and dog exercise areas to be classified as ‘on-lead’ areas.
- Extending the dog prohibited area around Te Whanganui-a-Orotū (Ahuriri Estuary) to protect endangered bird life.
- Including Watchman Road Reserve and the Council owned area at Port Beach West as dog prohibited areas.
- Introducing a seasonal restriction to the existing dog exercise area between Bay View and Westshore to better protect nesting birds.
- Adding a dog exercise area within Dolbel Reserve.

Following the presentation of submissions Ms Wilhelm proposed the following changes :

- The Ahuriri Estuary Map (*Doc Id 1477117*) – extending the prohibited area to encompass the area where endangered bird species nest and feed during certain times of the year. The yellow area in the map that extended underneath the expressway to be included as a prohibited area rather than an “on lead” area. That connects to the Lagoon Farm cycle path and that is consistent with the current Bylaw, but also protects the Westshore Wildlife Refuge.
- After hearing submissions on the restriction at Westshore and Bay View it is proposed to change the date (October to March) to July to February subject to confirmation of the breeding dates. This was discussed further and Council agreed that they wish to endorse the requirement for a seasonal restriction in this area but ask the Chief Executive to confirm the breeding season and include the appropriate dates and make an amendment in Map 3 (Westshore) to reflect confirmed dates.
- In response to the suggestion by submitter Gavin Ide (*Doc Id 1480485*) to include an area close to the Park Island Reserve which is a paddock and suitable for dog exercise it is proposed to introduce “Temporary Dog Exercise Areas” as part of the 'Dog Exercise Area' definition under section 6 Interpretation of the proposed Dog Control Bylaw 2022 until the point when the area is developed.

Under s.6 Interpretation of the proposed Bylaw, the definition of “Dog Exercise Area” would be extended to include the following words -

'Dog Exercise Areas include any “temporary” dog exercise areas identified and approved under Chief Executive delegation following appropriate public consultation commensurate with section 82 under the Local Government Act'.

A delegation to the Chief Executive to authorise a temporary dog exercise area recognising Section 82 of the Local Government Act which is the requirement for Council to consult.

This would enable some flexibility in the Bylaw where there is land that could allow the temporary use for dogs to exercise.

Ms Wilhelm did not recommend including the length of the leash as this was difficult to enforce and confusing for dog owners. The Dog Control Act gives officers the ability to enforce the need for a dog to be under control. This is the tool that officers can use where a dog is on a lead but due to the length of the lead, or any other reason, the dog is not under control.

- Under Schedule 2 Dog Exercise Areas add a new point p) The reserves along Tamatea Drive and Prebensen Drive – to be added as off lead dog exercise areas as a result of submitter Gavin Ide.

Ms Wilhelm discussed with Dog Control Officers, the Parks and Reserves Manager and the Roading Team Leader and they do not have any problem adding this area as an off lead exercise area. This will not include Orotu Drive as this was an area frequently used by families. Map 6 will be a new map and attached to this Bylaw for further clarification.

- The next amendment was as result of the submission from Lynne Anderson, Forest and Bird Society - Under section 1.3 of the Dog Control Policy add “protect wildlife” to the paragraph to read:

..... *The focus of implementing this policy is to prioritise safe interactions between dogs, people and their environment; reduce nuisance situations, minimise the possibility of dog attacks, **protect wildlife** and promote the welfare of dogs generally.*

- As a result of Submission 253 (E Barrett) and map (Doc Id 1477705) which was included Ms Wilhelm considered it would be worthwhile considering the south-side of the cross country drain as a new off lead dog exercise area. Officers would need to investigate ownership of the cross country drain in the first instance.
- Signage had been raised by a number of submitters and Ms Wilhelm advised that there would be a review undertaken of signage. Work on the signage review would occur outside of the Bylaw.
- In addition to a signage review, a communications plan will be developed to communicate the Bylaw changes to submitters, key stakeholders and public.

COUNCIL Dep. Mayor Brosnan / Councillor Chrystal

RESOLUTION

That Council:

- a. **Note** the contents of this report including a minor amendment to the Estuary Map.
- b. **Consider** the proposed reinstatement of Lagoon Farm cycle path as a prohibited area.
- c. **Hear** the submissions on the proposed Dog Control Policy 2022 and Dog Control Bylaw 2022; and determine whether any changes are required to the proposed policy and bylaw.
- d. **Agree** that, in terms of Section 82(3) of the Local Government Act 2002, the principles set out in that section have been observed in such a manner that the Napier City Council considers, in its discretion, it is appropriate to make decisions on the recommendation.
- e. **Determine** that the proposed policy and bylaw are:
 - i. the most appropriate and proportionate way of addressing the issues relating to dogs in terms of sections 155(2)(b) and 155(3) of the Local Government Act; and
 - ii. the Dog Control Bylaw 2022 is not inconsistent with the Bill of Rights Act 1990.
- f. **Endorse** the Dog Control Policy 2022 with a commencement date of 1 October 2022.
- g. **Endorse** the Dog Control Bylaw 2022 with a commencement date of 1 October 2022 with the following amendments to the proposed Bylaw:
 - Under s.6 Interpretation of the proposed Bylaw, the definition of 'Dog Exercise Area' would be extended to include the following words –

"Dog Exercise Areas include any "temporary" dog exercise areas identified and approved *under Chief Executive delegation following appropriate public consultation commensurate with section 82 under the Local Government Act*"

- **Delegate** authority to the Chief Executive to authorise temporary dog exercise areas subject to consultation requirements under section 82 of the Local Government Act.

- **Under** Schedule 2 Dog Exercise Areas, point j):

All the area of beach and foreshore on the seaward side of the Esplanade and the Napier/Gisborne railway line from the public toilets on The Esplanade foreshore northward to the City boundary during July to February only. The Chief Executive to confirm the breeding season and include the appropriate dates. Make the consequential amendment in Map 3 (Westshore) to reflect the change of dates proposed.

- **Under** Schedule 2 Dog Exercise Areas add a new point p) The reserves along Tamatea Drive and Prebensen Drive. **Note:** See Map 6 attached to this bylaw for further clarification.

- **Under** section 1.3 of the Dog Control Policy add "protect wildlife" to the paragraph to read:

*..... The focus of implementing this policy is to prioritise safe interactions between dogs, people and their environment; reduce nuisance situations, minimise the possibility of dog attacks, **protect wildlife** and promote the welfare of dogs generally.*

- **Under** Schedule 2, direct officers to investigate the southside of the cross country drain ownership with a view to including this as a new dog off lead exercise area.

- h. **Authorise** Officers to notify submitters, key stakeholders and the public that the Policy and Bylaw have been adopted and will commence on 1 October 2022.

- i. **Direct** Council officers to undertake a review of signage and implement as appropriate.

Carried

Attachments

- 1 Paddy Cooper (260) powerpoint presentation (Doc Id 1480165)
 - 2 Brittany Turlock (427) attachment to submission (Doc Id 1479404)
 - 3 Lynne Anderson (476) Ahuriri Estuary map displayed (Doc Id 1477117)
 - 4 Bernie Kelly (572) Attachment to submission (Doc Id 1480542)
 - 5 Ailsa McGilvary-Howard (576) Attachment to submissions (Doc Id 1480482)
 - 6 Louis Pierard (587) attachment to submission (Doc Id 1480483)
 - 7 Michele Grigg (601) attachment to submission (Doc Id 1480484)
 - 8 Gavin Ide (606) attachment to submission(Doc Id 1480485)
 - 9 Gavin Ide (606) Presentation read at the meeting
-

10 Arnja Dale (607) attachment to submission (1480486)

The meeting closed with a karakia at 2.45pm

Approved and adopted as a true and accurate record of the meeting.

Chairperson

Date of approval